UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,378	03/12/2004	William Chenevich	055555-0233	7289
23524 FOLEY & LAR	7590 09/24/200 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
150 EAST GILL		TIMBLIN, ROBERT M		
P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		under	
	10/799,378	CHENEVICH ET AI	CHENEVICH ET AL.	
		Art Unit		
	JOHN R. COTTINGHAM	1 2167		
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	eview filed <u>7/17/09</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F ☐ Other: 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this d running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period hever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 6-12 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has beer action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) /JOHN R. COTTINGHAM/.	(3) <u>Etienne LeRoux</u> .
(2) Robert Timblin.	(4)

the